arXiv:math/0503437v2 [math.DS] 26 Aug 2005

HYPERBOLIC INVARIANT SETS WITH POSITIVE MEASURES

ZHIHONG XIA

Abstract. In this short paper we prove some results concerning volume-preserving Anosov diffeomorphisms on compact manifolds. The first theorem is that if a $C^{1+\alpha}$, $\alpha > 0$, volume-preserving diffeomorphism on a compact connected manifold has a hyperbolic invariant set with positive volume, then the map is Anosov. This is not necessarily true for C^1 maps. The proof uses a Pugh-Shub type of dynamically defined measure density points, which are different from the standard Lebesgue density points. We then give a *direct* proof of the ergodicity of $C^{1+\alpha}$ volume preserving Anosov diffeomorphisms, without using the usual Hopf arguments or the Birkhoff ergodic theorem. The method we introduced also has interesting applications to partially hyperbolic and non-uniformly hyperbolic systems.

1. Introduction and statement of main results

We consider volume-preserving or symplectic diffeomorphisms on a compact connected Riemannian manifold M. Let $\mathrm{Diff}^r_\mu(M)$ be the the set of all C^r diffeomorphisms preserving a smooth volume μ on M. If r is not an integer, $r = k + \alpha$ for some positive integer k and $0 < \alpha < 1$, it is understood that the functions in $\mathrm{Diff}^r_\mu(M)$ are C^k functions with α-Hölder k-th derivatives.

An invariant set $\Lambda \subset M$ is said to be *hyperbolic* if there is a continuous splitting of $T_xM = E^s_x \oplus E^u_x$ for every $x \in \Lambda$ and constants $C > 0$, $\lambda > 1$ such that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} df_x(E^s_x) & = & E^s_{f(x)} \text{ and } df_x(E^u_x) = E^u_{f(x)} \\ |df^n_x v^s_x| & \leq & C\lambda^{-n}|v^s|, \text{ for all } v^s \in E^s_x,\ n \in \mathbb{N} \\ |df^{-n}_x v^u_x| & \leq & C\lambda^{-n}|v^u|, \text{ for all } v^u \in E^u_x,\ n \in \mathbb{N} \end{array}$$

If the whole manifold M is hyperbolic for some $f \in \mathrm{Diff}^r_\mu(M)$, then f is said to be *Anosov*. Not all manifolds can support Anosov diffeomorphisms.

Typical examples of hyperbolic invariant sets are Cantor sets as in Smale's horseshoe map. The following simple proposition explains why this is the case.

Proposition 1.1. *Let $f \in \mathrm{Diff}^r_\mu(M)$, $r \geq 1$, be a volume-preserving diffeomorphism on a compact manifold M. Let $\Lambda \subset M$ be a closed hyperbolic invariant set. If the interior of Λ is non-empty, then f is Anosov on M and $\Lambda = M$.*

This proposition and its simple proof, given in the next section, will motivate our main result of this paper. The proof uses the fact that the recurrent points are dense on the manifold. This is a consequence of the volume-preserving property. Without the volume-preserving or the dense recurrent points condition, the proposition is not true, we refer to Fisher [4] for a counter-example. Fisher also give a proof of

---

Date: April 10, 2005, revised version.

Research supported in part by National Science Foundation.

the above proposition. On the other hand, it is an open problem whether there are any Anosov diffeomporphisms with wandering domains.

A natural question one asks is whether there is any hyperbolic invariant set with a positive measure for a volume-preserving non-Anosov diffeomorphism. The answer is yes for C^1 diffeomorphisms, as Bowen's example of fat horseshoe shows [3], see also Robinson & Young [8]. However, if the map is assumed to be $C^{1+\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$, then the answer is no. This is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $f \in \mathrm{Diff}^r_\mu(M)$*,* $r > 1$*, be a volume preserving diffeomorphism on a compact manifold* M*. Let* $\Lambda \subset M$ *be a closed hyperbolic invariant set. If* $\mu(\Lambda) > 0$*, then* f *is Anosov on* M *and* $\Lambda = M$*.*

It is not surprising that the map is required to be $C^{1+\alpha}$. As various examples show, measure-theoretical properties are often not respected by C^1 maps. Additional smoothness, even though very little, guarantees certain regularities in measure.

Our proof uses a special type of measure density points different from the Lebesgue density points. The density basis for our density points are dynamically defined. It is similar to the juliennes defined by Pugh & Shub [6] [7]. But our case is much simpler.

Our method also provides a direct proof of the ergodicity of $C^{1+\alpha}$ volume-preserving Anosov diffeomorphisms, without using the Hopf arguments or the Birkhoff ergodic theorem. However, we do use the absolute continuity of stable and unstable foliations. This is given in the last section of the paper.

Another result of this paper Lemma 4.1 is of interest in its own right. We showed that for a $C^{1+\alpha}$ hyperbolic or partially hyperbolic volume-preserving diffeomorphism, if a set is invariant, then almost every point of the stable manifold (or unstable manifold) of almost every point is in the invariant set. This is also true for non-unifomly hyperbolic invariant set in Pesin theory. This result can find its applications in various other problems.

We are grateful to M. Viana for pointing out that Theorem 1.2 was also proved, with a different method, by Bochi and Viana [2]. We are also grateful to F. Ledrappier for showing us another possible proof of Theorem 1.2.

2. PROOF OF THE PROPOSITION

In this section, we give a simple proof of the Proposition 1.1.

Let U be the interior of the hyperbolic invariant set Λ. By the assumption of the proposition, $U \neq \emptyset$. Clearly, U is invariant. We want to prove that the closure of U, $\bar{U}$ is the whole manifold. We know that $\bar{U}$ is closed, it suffices to show that $\bar{U}$ is also open.

For any $x \in \bar{U}$, there exists a sequence of points $x_n \in U$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$. As f is volume preserving, by Poincaré recurrence theorem, almost every point is both forward and backward recurrent. Moreover, the set of periodic points is dense in U, since U is hyperbolic. We may choose $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ to be periodic points. Since U is invariant and each x_n is an interior point in U, then $W^s(x_n)$ and $W^u(x_n)$ are in U for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each fixed $\delta > 0$ small, let $W^s_\delta(x)$ and $W^u_\delta(x)$ be, respectively, the local stable manifold and unstable manifold of x. As $x_n \to x$, as $n \to \infty$, we have that $W^s_\delta(x_n) \to W^s_\delta(x)$ and $W^u_\delta(x_n) \to W^u_\delta(x)$ as $n \to \infty$. This implies that each point on $W^s_\delta(x)$ or on $W^u_\delta(x)$ is also in the closure of U. Let $y \in W^s_\delta(x)$ and $z \in W^u_\delta(x)$, the same argument shows that $W^u_\delta(y)$ and $W^s_\delta(z)$ are both in the closure of U. Consequently,

$$W^u_\delta(y) \cap W^s_\delta(z) \in \bar{U},$$

i.e., $\bar{U}$ has the product structure. This implies that x is in the interior of $\bar{U}$. Consequently, the set $\bar{U}$ is open. Since $\bar{U}$ is also closed and M is connected, we have $\bar{U} = M$. i.e., f is hyperbolic on M.

This proves the proposition.

3. Proof of the Theorem

The proof of Theorem 1.2 uses a similar idea to the proof of Proposition 1.1, but the details are much more complicated. Here the interior points are replaced by density points. One may regard the density points as measure theoretical interior points for a set with positive measure.

We need some preliminary results from standard smooth ergodic theory. It is well-known that the stable and unstable foliations for a C^1 Anosov diffeomorphism may not be absolutely continuous. However, for $C^{1+\alpha}$ diffeomorphisms, these foliations are absolutely continuous (Anosov [1]). Moreover, the stable and unstable foliations over a hyperbolic (even non-uniformly, cf Pesin [5]) invariant set are also absolutely continuous for $C^{1+\alpha}$ diffeomorphisms. In fact, the absolute continuity of the foliations is proved by showing that the holonomy maps of these foliations are absolutely continuous.

We also need some results on density basis and density points of a measurable set. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a measurable set with the standard Lebesgue measure m. A point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be a Lebesgue density point if

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{m(B(x,\epsilon) \cap A)}{m(B(x,\epsilon))} = 1,$$

where $B(x,\epsilon)$ is the ϵ-ball centered at x. Lebesgue density theorem states that almost every point of A is a density point for A.

To prove our theorem, we need a different definition of density point. The Lebesgue density point is defined by a basis of ϵ-balls. We replace it by a dynamically defined basis. Let $\Lambda \subset M$ be a hyperbolic invariant set, we first define a basis on the unstable manifold for each point Λ.

For a fixed small real number $\delta > 0$, let $W^u_\delta(x)$ be the local unstable manifold of a point $x \in \Lambda$. Let μ_u and μ_s respectively be the induced measures of the smooth volume form μ on the unstable leaves and stable leaves. Let n_u and n_s respectively be the dimensions be the unstable and stable leaves. For any positive integer k, let $B^u_k(x)$ be a subset of $W^u(x)$ defined by

$$B^u_k(x) = f^{-k}(W^u_\delta(f^k(x))).$$

Clearly, the cubes $B^u_k(x)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ shrinks to the point x as $k \to \infty$. We call the collection of the sets $\{B^u_k(x) \mid k \in \mathbb{N},\ x \in \Lambda\}$ the unstable density basis.

Similarly, we can define the stable density basis $\{B^s_k(x) \mid k \in \mathbb{N},\ x \in \Lambda\}$, by defining

$$B^s_k(x) = f^k(W^u_\delta(f^{-k}(x))).$$

The density basis we defined has infinite eccentricity.

A point $x \in \Lambda$ is said to be a dynamical density point, or simply density point, on the unstable foliation if

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\mu_u(B^u_k(x) \cap \Lambda)}{\mu_u(B^u_k(x))} = 1.$$

Similarly, we can define the dynamical density points on the stable foliation.

Proposition 3.1. *The set of points on Λ that are both density points on the stable foliations and unstable foliations has the full measure in Λ.*

Our definitions of density points can be regarded as simplified versions of the juliennes density points defined by Pugh & Shub [6] [7]. The Pugh-Shub density points are defined by the julienne density basis and they are much more complicated than what we have here. The above proposition follows from the proof for the Pugh-Shub's juliennes density point. The proof itself is similar to the proof of the Lebesgue Density Theorem. The key properties for the density basis are scaling and engulfing defined as follows.

(a) Scaling: for any fixed $k \geq 0$, $m(B^u_n(x))/m(B^u_{n+k}(x))$ is unformly bounded as $n \to \infty$.

(b) Engulfing: there is a unifom L such that

$$B^u_{n+L}(x) \cap B^u_{n+L}(y) \neq \emptyset \;\Rightarrow\; B^u_{n+L}(x) \cup B^u_{n+L}(y) \subset B^u_n(x).$$

These two properties can be easily verified.

We remark that our density points are defined on the stable and unstable foliations, we freely used the fact that the stable and unstable foliations are absolutely continuous.

Let A be a subset of Λ such that for any $x \in A$, x is a density point of Λ on both stable foliation and unstable foliation; and x is a recurrent point, both forward and backward. By Poincaré recurrence theorem, Proposition 3.1 and the absolute continuity of the foliations, the set A has the full measure in Λ.

The following is the main lemma in proving our theorem.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that f is a $C^{1+\alpha}$ volume-preserving diffeomorphism, for some positive number $\alpha > 0$. Fix $x \in A$ and a positive number $\delta > 0$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer k_0, depending on x and ϵ, such that for $k \geq k_0$,*

$$\mu_s(W^s_\delta(f^{-k}(x)) \cap A) \geq (1-\epsilon)\mu_s(W^s_\delta(f^{-k}(x)))$$

and

$$\mu_u(W^u_\delta(f^{k}(x)) \cap A) \geq (1-\epsilon)\mu_u(W^u_\delta(f^{k}(x)))$$

i.e., for sufficiently large k, the set A has a very high density in $W^s_\delta(f^{-k}(x))$ and $W^u_\delta(f^k(x))$.

Proof of the lemma: We first prove the lemma for the unstable foliation. Let n_u be the dimension of the leaves of the foliation, local unstable manifold $W^u_\delta(x)$ can be identified with a cube in $E^u_x = \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$ by the exponential map from E^u_x to $W^u(x)$. Since the leaves of the unstable foliation is smooth, the conditional measure μ_u are smoothly equivalent to the standard Lebesgue measure m on $\mathbb{R}^{n_u}$. i.e., for any point $x \in \Lambda$, there is a smooth function $g_u(y)$ defined for $y \in W^u_\delta(x)$ on the local unstable manifold, uniformly bounded away from zero and infinity, such that

$$\mu_u(E) = \int_E g_u dm,$$

where E is a measurable set in $W^u_\delta(x)$ and m is the standard Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^{n_u}$.

For any positive integer k, we want to estimate the measure of the set $W^u_\delta(f^k(x)) \cap A$. Let $B^k_0 = f^{-k}(W^u_\delta(f^k(x)))$, obviously $B^k_0 \subset W^u_\delta(x)$. In fact, B^k_0 is the set $B^u_k(x)$ in our definition of density basis. We iterate B^k_0 under f and obtain a sequence of sets $B^k_i = f^i(B^k_0)$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. The last set in the sequence is $B^k_k = W^u_\delta(f^k(x))$.

Let $\eta_k = 1 - \mu_u(B^k_0 \cap A)/\mu_u(B^k_0)$, then $0 \le \eta_k \le 1$. As x is a density point on the unstable foliation,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\mu_u(B^k_0 \cap A)}{\mu_u(B^k_0)} = 1,$$

The number η_k is small for large k, and $\lim_{k\to\infty} \eta_k = 0$. Since f is $C^{1+\alpha}$, there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that $||df_y - df_z|| \le C_1|y - z|^\alpha$. Here we abuse the notation a little by writing $|y - z|$ as the distance between y and z.

Let ρ^k_i be the maximum distance from $f^i(x)$ to the boundary of B^k_i, i.e.,

$$\rho^k_i = \max_{y\in B^k_i} \{d(f^i(x), y)\}.$$

For any $y \in B^k_0$, $||df_y - df_x|| \le C_1(\rho^k_0)^\alpha$. In general, for any $y \in B^k_i$, $||df_y - df_{f^i(x)}|| \le C_1(\rho^k_i)^\alpha$.

Let $J_u(y) = |\det(df_y|_{E^u_y})|$ be the Jacobian of the map f at y restricted on the unstable manifold of y. Then $|J_u(x) - J_u(y)| \le C_2|x - y|^\alpha$, for some positive constant $C_2 > 0$.

Let $D_0 = B^k_0 \backslash A$ and $D_i = B^k_i \backslash A$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. These are the complements of A in B^k_i. By the definition of η_k, $\mu_u(D_0) = \eta_k \mu_u(B^k_0)$. We need to estimate the measure of D_1. For any set $E \subset B^k_0$,

$$\mu_u(f(E)) = \int_{f(E)} g_u dm = \int_E J_u(g_u \cdot f) dm = \int_E J_u(g_u \cdot f) g_u^{-1} d\mu_u$$

Since the functions g_u and g_u^{-1} are smooth on any unstable manifold, the integrand in the above integral is $C^{1+\alpha}$, there is a constant $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$|J_u(y)(g_u \cdot f)(y)g_u^{-1}(y) - J_u(x)(g_u \cdot f)(x)g_u^{-1}(x)| \le C_3|x - y|^\alpha,$$

for all $x \in \Lambda$, $y \in W^u_\delta(x)$. Therefore,

$$|\mu_u(f(E)) - (J_u(x)(g_u \cdot f)(x)g_u^{-1}(x))\mu_u(E)| \le C_3(\rho^k_0)^\alpha \mu_u(E).$$

Consequently,

$$\mu_u(D_1) \le (J_u(x)(g_u \cdot f)(x)g_u^{-1}(x))\mu_u(D_0) + C_3(\rho^k_0)^\alpha \mu_u(D_0)$$

and

$$\mu_u(B^k_1 \backslash D_1) \ge (J_u(x)(g_u \cdot f)(x)g_u^{-1}(x))\mu_u(B^k_0 \backslash D_0) - C_3(\rho^k_0)^\alpha \mu_u(B^k_0 \backslash D_0)$$

and therefore

$$\mu_u(D_1) \le \eta_k \frac{(1 + C_3(\rho^k_0)^\alpha)}{(1 - C_3(\rho^k_0)^\alpha)} \mu_u(B^k_1).$$

By induction on i, we have

$$\mu_u(D_k) \le \eta_k \frac{(1 + C_3(\rho^k_0)^\alpha)}{(1 - C_3(\rho^k_0)^\alpha)} \frac{(1 + C_3(\rho^k_1)^\alpha)}{(1 - C_3(\rho^k_1)^\alpha)} \cdots \frac{(1 + C_3(\rho^k_{k-1})^\alpha)}{(1 - C_3(\rho^k_{k-1})^\alpha)} \mu_u(B^k_k).$$

The map $df : T_\Lambda M \to T_\Lambda M$ uniformly expands vectors on the unstable splitting. That uniform expansion extends to local unstable manifolds $W^u_\delta(x)$, $x \in \Lambda$ if δ is chosen small enough. There exist positive real numbers $C_4 > C > 0$ and $\lambda > \lambda_1 > 1$ (here C and λ are the same as those in the definition of the hyperbolic invariant set) such that $\rho^k_{k-1} \leq C_4\lambda_1^{-1}\delta$ and $\rho^k_i \leq C_4\lambda_1^{-(k-i)}\delta$, for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_u(D_k) &\leq \eta_k \frac{(1 + C_3(C_4\lambda_1^{-k}\delta)^\alpha)}{(1 - C_3(C_4\lambda_1^{-k}\delta)^\alpha)} \frac{(1 + C_3(C_4\lambda_1^{-k+1}\delta)^\alpha)}{(1 - C_3(C_4\lambda_1^{-k+1}\delta)^\alpha)} \\
&\quad \cdots \frac{(1 + C_3(C_4\lambda_1^{-1}\delta)^\alpha)}{(1 - C_3(C_4\lambda_1^{-1}\delta)^\alpha)} \mu_u(B^k_k) \\
&< \eta_k \mu_u(B^k_k) \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 + C_3(C_4\lambda_1^{-i}\delta)^\alpha) / \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - C_3(C_4\lambda_1^{-i}\delta)^\alpha)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda > 1$, then $\lambda^\alpha > 1$, the infinite products converge. We have

$$\mu_u(D_k) < C_5\eta_k\mu_u(B^k_k).$$

for some constant $C_5 > 0$.

Choose a positive integer k_0 such that for $k \geq k_0$, $\eta_k < \epsilon/C_5$, then we have

$$\mu_u(W^u_\delta(f^k(x)) \cap A) \geq (1 - \epsilon)\mu_u(W^u_\delta(f^k(x))),$$

for all $k \geq k_0$.

This proves the statement of the lemma on the unstable foliation. The part on the stable foliation can be proved in the same way by considering f^{-1}.

This proves the lemma.

We return to the proof of the theorem. Let E be the closure of A in M. We claim that if $y \in E$, then $W^s_\delta(y) \subset E$ and $W^u_\delta(y) \subset E$.

Suppose that this is not true. i.e., there is a point $z \in W^u(y)$ and a small ϵ_1-ball around z, $B(z, \epsilon_1) \subset M$ such that $B(z, \epsilon_1) \cap A = \emptyset$. Consequently, there are constants $\epsilon_2 > 0$, depending on ϵ_1, and $C_6 > 0$, independent of ϵ_1, such that if $x \in \Lambda$, $|x - y| \leq \epsilon_2$, then

$$\mu_u(W^u_\delta(f^k(x)) \cap A) < (1 - C_6\epsilon_1^{n_u})\mu_u(W^u_\delta(f^k(x))),$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

On the other hand, since $y \in E$, there is a sequence of points $x_i \in A$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_i \to y$ and $i \to \infty$. For the above ϵ_1, there is positive integer i_0 such that if $i \geq i_0$, $d(x_i, y) \leq \epsilon_1/3$. For any fixed $\epsilon > 0$, by the lemma above and the recurrence of x_i, there is a positive integer $k > 0$ such that $d(x_i, f^k(x_i)) \leq \epsilon_1/3$ and

$$\mu_u(W^u_\delta(f^k(x_i)) \cap A) \geq (1 - \epsilon)\mu_u(W^u_\delta(f^k(x_i))).$$

Since $d(y, f^k(x_i)) \leq \frac{2\epsilon_2}{3} < \epsilon_2$, choosing $\epsilon = C_6\epsilon_1^{n_u}$ leads to a contradiction. This contradiction show that if $y \in E$, then $W^u_\delta(y) \subset E$. Similarly by considering f^{-1}, we have $W^s_\delta(y) \subset E$.

To conclude our proof, for any $y \in E$, $W^u_\delta(y) \subset E$ and

$$V = \bigcup_{z \in W^u_\delta(y)} W^s_\delta(z) \subset E.$$

Since V is hyperbolic, y is in the interior of V. This implies that E is an open set. But V is also closed and non-empty. The connectness of M implies that $E = M$. This implies that f is Anosov.

Finally, the reason that $\Lambda = M$ in the first place is that f is ergodic, any invariant set with positive measure must have full measure and its closure must be the whole manifold.

This proves the theorem.

4. Ergodicity of volume preserving Anosov diffeomorphisms

In this section, we give a direct proof of the ergodicity of $C^{1+\alpha}$ volume-preserving Anosov diffeomorphisms, without using the usual Hopf arguments or the Birkhoff ergodic theorem.

We first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $f \in \mathrm{Diff}^r_\mu(M)$, $r > 1$, be a volume preserving diffeomorphism on a compact manifold M. Let $\Lambda \subset M$ be a hyperbolic invariant set (not neccessarily closed). If $\mu(\Lambda) > 0$, then for a.e. $x \in \Lambda$, $W^s(x) \subset \Lambda$ modulus a μ_s-measure zero set in $W^s(x)$ and $W^u(x) \subset \Lambda$ modulus a μ_u-measure zero set in $W^u(x)$, where μ_s and μ_u are respectively the induced measures of μ on the stable and unstable foliations.*

Proof: For any fixed positive integer k and positive number $\eta > 0$, let $\Lambda_{(\eta,k)} \subset \Lambda$ be the set such that

$$\frac{\mu_u(B^u_i(x) \cap \Lambda)}{\mu_u(B^u_i(x))} > (1-\eta), \quad \text{for all } i \geq k$$

Since almost every point of Λ is a density point of Λ, for any $\eta > 0$,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \mu(\Lambda_{(\eta,k)}) = \mu(\Lambda).$$

By Poincaré recurrence theorem, for a.e. $x \in \Lambda_{(\eta,k)}$, there exists a sequence of integers $n_i \to \infty$ such that $f^{-n_i}(x) \in \Lambda_{(\eta,k)}$. By the distortion estimates from the last section,

$$\mu_u(W^u_\delta(x) \cap \Lambda) \geq (1 - C_5\eta)\mu_u(W^u_\delta(x)),$$

where C_5 and δ are fixed constants from the last section. Since the above estimate is independent of k, it must hold for almost all $x \in \cup_{k=1}^\infty \Lambda_{(\eta,k)}$. Since $\mu(\cup_{k=1}^\infty \Lambda_{(\eta,k)}) = \mu(\Lambda)$ for any fixed $\eta > 0$, this implies that for a.e. $x \in \Lambda$,

$$\mu_u(W^u_\delta(x) \cap \Lambda) \geq (1 - C_5\eta)\mu_u(W^u_\delta(x)).$$

This is true for all $\eta > 0$, therefore, for a.e. $x \in \Lambda$,

$$\mu_u(W^u_\delta(x) \cap \Lambda) = \mu_u(W^u_\delta(x)).$$

This proves the lemma for the unstable foliations. The results on the stable foliation can be proved in the same way by considering f^{-1}.

This proves the lemma.

Now we can prove the following ergodicity theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $f \in \mathrm{Diff}^r_\mu(M)$, $r > 1$, be an Anosov volume preserving diffeomorphism on a compact manifold M. Then f is ergodic.*

Proof: Let $\Lambda \subset M$ be an invariant set and $\mu(\Lambda) > 0$. Let $\Lambda_s \subset \Lambda$ be the set such that for each $x \in \Lambda_s$, a.e. (μ_s) y in $W^s_\delta(x)$ is in Λ. The above lemma shows that $\mu(\Lambda_s) = \mu(\Lambda)$. Also by the above lemma, for a.e. $z \in \Lambda$, a.e. (μ_u) x in $W^u_\delta(z)$ is in Λ_s. By the absolute continuity of the stable and unstable foliations, the set

$$\bigcup_{y \in W^u_\delta(z)} W^s_\delta(y)$$

has the full measure in the δ neighborhood of z and therefore Λ has the full measure in a δ neighborhood of z for a.e. $z \in \Lambda$. This implies that Λ has the full measure in M. Since Λ is an arbitrary positive measure set, this implies ergodicity.

This proves the theorem.

Finally we remark that Lemma 4.1 is also true for partially hyperbolic invariant and non-uniformly hyperbolic invariant sets (sets with non-zero Liapunov exponents). The proof is exactly the same.

REFERENCES

[1] D.V. Anosov. Geodesic flows on closed riemannian manifolds of negative curvature. *Proc. Steklov. Inst. Math.*, 90, 1967.

[2] J. Bochi and M. Viana Lyapunov exponents: how frequently are dynamical systems hyperbolic? in *Modern dynamical systems and applications*, 271–297, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004

[3] R. Bowen. A horseshoe with positive measure. *Invent. Math.*, 29:203–204, 1975.

[4] T. Fisher. On the structure of hyperbolic sets. *Thesis, Northwestern University*, 2004.

[5] Ya. Pesin. Characteristic lyapunov exponents and smooth ergodic theory. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 32(4):55–112, 1977.

[6] C. Pugh and M. Shub. Stable ergodicity and julienne quasiconformality. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, 2:1–52, 2000.

[7] C. Pugh and M. Shub. Stable ergodicity. *Bulletin Amer. Math. Soc.*, 41(1):1–41, 2003.

[8] C. Robinson and Lai-Sang Young. Nonabsolutely continuous foliations for an anosov diffeomorphisms. *Inventiones Math.*, 61:159–176, 1980.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, EVANSTON, ILLINOIS 60208
E-mail address: `xia@math.northwestern.edu`